A Summary on the Current Research Surrounding Updated 2016 ASPEN Guidelines on the Usage of Probiotics in Severe Acute Pancreatitis in the Critical Care Setting

SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH · UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

excellent science, shared passion, enduring impact

Sophie Kauffman, UW Nutritional Sciences Program,
MS-Nutrition Student & Dietetic Intern
With special thanks to Susan McBride, MS, RD, CNSD for her support in this project

Evidence to Date Contributing to Current ASPEN Guidelines

Meta-Analyses

Zhang et al (2010)

Significant heterogeneity of studies assessed. No significant difference in postoperative or pancreatic infections, SIRS, length of antibiotic therapy, or mortality. Reduced length of stay.

Gou et al (2014)

Significant heterogeneity of studies assessed. No significant benefits or adverse outcomes.

Poropat et al (2015)

Significant heterogeneity of studies assessed. With the 2008 Besselink study excluded, EN inclusion of probiotic in pancreatitis lead to lower risk of mortality, organ failure, and local septic complications.

Probiotic Only

Besselink et al (2008)

N = 298

Increased risk of mortality, infectious complications, bowel ischemia, and surgical intervention.

NJ delivery of *P pentosaceus, L mesenteroids, L paracasei,*

L plantarum 299

Qin et al (2008)

N = 74

PN patients compared to PN + probiotic-containing EN. EN treatment group colonized w/fewer pathogenic organisms. Fewer incidences of (+) blood culture, antibiotic usage, paralytic ileus, and GI bleed.

NJ delivery of *L plantarum 299*

Prebiotic Only

Karakan et al (2007)

N = 30

Treatment group had shorter hospital stay, fewer overall complications including MOF, cholangitis, sepsis, pseudocysts, and death with statistical significance. By individual complication, power was insufficient to demonstrate results.

NJ delivery of 0.7g/100mL soluble; 0.9g/100mL insoluble.

Total = 24g fiber/patient/day

Probiotic + Prebiotic

Olah et al (2002)

N = 45

Reduced risk of infection and length of stay in treatment group.

NJ delivery of *L plantarum 299* with oat fiber substrate.

Olah et al (2007)

N = 62

Treatment group experienced lower incidence of septic complications, mortality; but was not statistically significant. Incidence of SIRS, MOF, overall recovery w/o complications was significant.

NJ delivery of *P pentosaceus, L mesenteroids, L paracasei,* **L plantarum 299**; 10g fiber/patient/day (inulin, pectin, resistant starch)

2016 ASPEN Guideliens Recommendation L5

"We suggest that the use of probiotics be considered in patients with severe acute pancreatitis who are receiving early EN. [Quality of Evidence: Low] (1)"

- Heterogeneity and limited quantity of studies conducted contributes to low quality of evidence.
- Research suggests probiotic may result in positive outcomes in severe pancreatitis patients, however medical professionals should exercise caution.
- In sum, inclusion of probiotics in severe pancreatitis treatment should not be a first line of defense, and patients should be made aware of possible complication should facilities choose to use it as treatment.

Inclusion of Probiotic May Reduce:

- ✓ Overall mortality
- ✓ Infectious complications
- ✓ Organ failure
- ✓ Surgical intervention
- ✓ Incidence of ileus
- ✓ Antibiotic usage
- ✓ Length of stay



erences:

- 1. Besselink MG, Timmerman HM, Buskens E, Nieuwenhuijs VB, Akkermans LM, Gooszen HG, Group DAPS. Probiotic prophylaxis in patients with predicted severe acute pancreatitis (PROPATRIA): design and rationale of a double-blind, placebo-controlled randomised multicenter trial. BMC Surg. 2004;4:12.
- Dellit, Tim MD. General Guidelines for Probiotic Use. April 2016. UW Medicine Pharmacy & Therapeutics ID Subcommittee.
- 3. Karakan T, Ergun M, Dogan I, Cindoruk M, Unal S. Comparison of early enteral nutrition in severe acute pancreatitis with prebiotic fiber supplementation versus standard enteral solution: a prospective randomized double-blind study. World J Gastroenterol. 2007 May 21;13(19):2733-7.

 4. Klarin B, Johansson ML, Molin G, Larsson A, Jeppsson B. Adhesion of the probiotic bacterium Lactobacillus plantarum 299v onto the gut mucosa in critically ill patients: a randomised open trial. Crit Care. 2005 Jun;9:R285-93.
- 4. Klarin B, Johansson ML, Molin G, Larsson A, Jeppsson B. Adnesion of the problotic bacterium Lactobacilius plantarum 299v onto the gut mucosa in critically III patients: a randomised open trial. Crit Care. 2005 Jun;9:K285-93.

 5. McClave SA, Taylor BE, Martindale RG, Warren MM, Johnson DR, Braunschweig C, McCarthy MS, Davanos E, Rice TW, et al. Guidelines for the Provision and Assessment of Nutrition Support Therapy in the Adult Critically III Pat
- 6. Oláh A, Belágyi T, Issekutz A, Gamal ME, Bengmark S. Randomized clinical trial of specific lactobacillus and fibre supplement to early enteral nutrition in patients with acute pancreatitis. Br J Surg. 2002 Sep;89:1103-7.
- 7. Oláh A, Belágyi T, Pótó L, Romics L, Bengmark S. Synbiotic control of inflammation and infection in severe acute pancreatitis: a prospective, randomized, double blind study. Hepatogastroenterology. 2007 Mar;54:590-4.
- 8. Poropat G, Giljaca V, Hauser G, Stimac D. Enteral nutrition formulations for acute pancreatitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015 Mar 23;(3):CD010605.
 9. Qin HL, Zheng JJ, Tong DN, Chen WX, Fan XB, Hang XM, Jiang YQ. Effect of Lactobacillus plantarum enteral feeding on the gut permeability and septic complications in the patients with acute pancreatitis. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2008 Jul;62:923-30